

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/685,744 | 10/14/2003 | Robert F. Rioux | 267/296 (01-402) 6134 EXAMINER | | |
| 23410 | 7590 06/06/2006 | | | | |
| Vista IP Law Group LLP 2040 MAIN STREET, 9TH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614 | | | TOY, ALEX B | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 3739 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/06/2000 | DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|) | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|---|---|
| 7 | Advisory Action | 10/685,744 | RIOUX ET AL. |
| ı | Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | Alex B. Toy | 3739 |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | | orrespondence address |
| TUC C | REPLY FILED 15 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | | |
| 1. 🖾 | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dates | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu | Appeal. To avoid abandonment of idavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) |
| b) [| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 106.07(f). | g date of the final rejection. E FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN |
| have b under a set for may re | ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the h in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL | dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| | The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed IDMENTS | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since |
| _ | The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NO | |
| | (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying the issues for |
| | (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: | | ected claims. |
| 4. 🔲 | The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324). |
| 5. 🔲 | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | |
| | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | |
| _ | For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) abjusted the claim (s). | | II be entered and an explanation of |
| | Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> . | | |
| | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | |
| 8. 🔲 | The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affidat | rit or other evidence is necessary and |
| | The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). |
| | The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation in the secons of the secons | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is delow or attached. |
| | The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. | | • |
| | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | No(s). <u>3/27/06</u> |
| 10. | J Culton | | Muda they |
| | | | Michael Lettley |
| | tent and Trademark Office 03 (Rev. 7-05) Advisory Action Before | the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Part of Paper No. 20060530 |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner maintains all prior rejections because applicant's arguments are not deemed persuasive.